FOCOLARE

Faithful creativity

March 2018 was the tenth anniversary of the death of the founder of Focolare, Chiara Lubich. Susanne Janssen reports on a recent talk given by the Focolare Co-President Jesús Moran, about the importance of 'contemporizing' each gift of the Holy Spirit within the Church, including the Charism of Unity.

There was a transmitting

process: 'What happened

is that the Holy Spirit

quided a re-reading of

what had been lived bu

those who were there."

Charisms are spiritual gifts, new understandings of the faith, given by the Holy Spirit for the good of the Church and the whole of humanity. They can survive for centuries, and according to Focolare Co-President and theologian Jesús Moran, the process of continually reworking them for changing times often starts immediately after the death of the founder.

Ten years after Chiara Lubich's death, Moran has spoken on various occasions about the need to update the way the Focolare charism of unity is currently put into

practice. He also spoke about the tension between being creative in making the charism relevant in current times, while at the same time being faithful to the original genuine inspiration of the founder.

These reflections are not only for communities belonging to Roman Catholic and other Christian Churches, but relevant and useful also for every group with a specific mission or goal.

"The great challenge continually facing a charism is to be "creatively faithful", or to be "faithfully creative", because the two things go together,' he says. 'Only by being creative can you be faithful, and you are only faithful creatively.'

Since the beginning of Christianity

Moran explains this process as 'contemporizing' the charism, which he says is analogous to what happened with the Gospels. What we know about the life of Jesus; his words, his gestures and his actions have been written down in four versions – the four Gospels – plus Acts and the Epistles. There were other apocryphal texts that were

not chosen to be included in the Bible because they were regarded as being problematic.

However, even those texts that the Church defined to be part of the Scriptures are most likely not exactly the words that Jesus spoke. The events did not necessarily take place as recorded in the Bible.

Here too, says Moran, there was a transmitting process: 'What happened is that the Holy Spirit guided a rereading of what had been lived by those who were there in such a way that they expressed it in the way they did. That

is, all they lived, heard and touched – because John says this, referring to the Resurrection – "what we have seen and touched" (see 1 Jn 1: 1) – became contemporary in the mind of the Apostles through the action of the Holy Spirit, but also through their own intelligence and their own historical situation.'

This explains why we do not say 'The Gospel of Jesus' but 'The Gospel of Jesus Christ according to' Matthew, Mark, Luke or John.

We have to take into consideration that each of the evangelists had a specific background and was writing for different audiences. For example, Moran explains, 'Matthew is an individual person, a historical person living in a Jewish context with a Jewish mentality. Thus the Holy Spirit brought about this contemporizing effect on what he had seen, and led him to express himself as he did.'

Moran summarizes the causes of this adaptation process as follows: 'a social issue, something urgent and challenging; human intelligence; the historical situation people are in; and, in the case of a charism, the Holy Spirit.'

Then there's always the danger of confusing the gifts of God with our personal achievements, allowing pride









The constant and never-

ending challenge is for

those texts to draw

us into living the very

experience they describe.

To contemporize the charism of unity today, of course, we have to turn to Chiara Lubich's writings. But it's not enough to repeat them word for word, as they are...

or spiritual arrogance to enter in. So, in the process of adapting, there is often a crisis 'when one realizes the huge distance between the gift of God and ourselves ... Without the dazzling light that comes from the founder, we discover who we are, and so we

feel totally inadequate,' he said.

But what we call 'contemporizing' is much more than making something contemporary. Updating is one part of contemporizing, but it is more a re-understanding of something that already exists, more like expressing things that were already there and had not yet come to light.

'Obviously, the Apostles had not previously understood the things they wrote about Jesus, but

they were in Jesus. In fact, John the Baptist said, "Another will come after me who will make all things clear" (see Mk 1: 6-8, Jn 14: 26). This is contemporizing!

'Therefore contemporizing means better understanding something that is already understood – and this is one side of it, to understand something better than we did before – but also actually to express what has not been expressed, up until now.'

Contemporizing charisms today

Moran gives an example of how the Focolare should do this: 'To contemporize the charism of unity today, of course, we have to turn to Chiara Lubich's writings. But it's not enough to repeat them word for word, as they are; that's not enough. The constant and never-ending challenge is for those texts to draw us into living the very ex-

perience they describe.'

This is a challenge that the older charisms – like the Franciscans, Dominicans or Jesuits, for example – have had to face for centuries. Franciscans still have to discover what being poor means today. Jesuits have to define their specific mission in today's world, as do other religious orders and movements.

Moran remembers how, already during Chiara Lubich's life,

the founder reworked the charism herself, understanding more what it meant to live for unity.

When in the 1950s Chiara was asked: "Is this movement of unity also made for ecumenism?" Chiara replied, "No, we have nothing to do with ecumenism!" Later, in Germany and then in England, she met people from different Churches for the first time who were touched by the charism, and only then did she understand that unity was made for these people too, that her charism did have an ecumenical dimension.

'So something that was already within the charism was adapted and came to light. This is contemporizing. She understood it was coherent with the charism as a whole.'

FOCOLARE



This process is not an easy one, and it isn't always obvious which way is the right one to follow: 'We may feel a bit bewildered sometimes,' says Moran, 'Sometimes we lack strength. But looking at it from the point of view of contemporizing, we realize how fascinating it all is. We are faced with this great challenge of adapting, which means incarnating, going deeper, expressing the unspoken, all of which is full of possibilities.'

Maintaining our identity

Questions undoubtedly emerge, 'With all this trying to newly understand the charism for today's world, don't we risk losing our own identity?' Where will we end up if

we rework it all the time? Perhaps we'll end up somewhere else altogether, doing something good but not quite an expression of the charism of unity?

Moran summarizes these questions as follows: 'How can something – in this case a charism – continue to be itself while continually being modernized, pulled this way and that by all the very real social, ecclesial and cultural circumstances which require a response?'

He underlines that an identity is not something fixed, but dynamic. Just as an individual's personality is continually formed until the end of his or her life, a charism also develops — no one could really claim to know definitively what the Focolare is. This is so for all other movements or communities in the Church. Moran

quotes scholars who state that 'the history of Christian tradition, anchored in Revelation, is Christ who continually defines himself, right from the early centuries.'

There are two approaches to this, he explains. 'Someone may say, "I have this identity, and I'm keeping it, maintaining it." This is a conservative understanding of identity.'

However, that is not a view Moran shares. 'I think we maintain an identity by adapting. Because if it doesn't contemporize, it dies. It would be as if a mother never let her child go out or do anything because she wants to keep only the child she sees and knows, and so that child doesn't develop. A fixed identity is, paradoxically, a weak identity. The ability to adapt is a sign

of a strong identity. So the greater the ability to contemporize a charism, the stronger the charism is.'

As big of a challenge as that is, Moran says that this evolution from the period when the founder is alive (charismatic foundation) to the subsequent period of updating the charism (historical foundation) is a normal and much-needed process.

Ten years after Chiara Lubich died, this is exactly what the Focolare is trying to do. It takes courage, risk taking and trust, to be

both faithful to the first inspirations, while finding new and creative ways of putting them into practice.

An identity is not something fixed, but dynamic. Just as an individual's personality is continually formed until the end of his or her life, a charism also develops.

